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The Honorable Chairman and Members
of the Board of County Commissioners

The Honorable Ken Burke
Clerk of the Circuit Court

This 2011-2012 Annual Audit Plan has been prepared to identify planned audits during Fiscal Year 2011-2012 and the basis for their selection. This audit plan is the result of the development of a risk model to assess risks of various county operations for the purpose of indicating the need for audit. We also solicited input from the Board of County Commissioners, County Administrator, County Management, County Attorney, Pinellas County Constitutional Officers, the Management of the Clerk’s Office, and county employees.

It is important that the Division of Inspector General has a sound methodology for the selection of its audits to ensure maximum benefit to the citizens of Pinellas County. I believe the process we have established obtains that goal.

This plan is prepared to provide a core guide for audits to be initiated during the fiscal year. The plan also provides for management requests and investigations of fraud, waste and abuse. Depending on workload and other factors, some of these audits may not be initiated during the year, and other audits may be conducted that are not on this plan.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss any aspect of this proposed audit plan, please call me anytime.

Respectfully Submitted,

Hector Collazo Jr., Director
Inspector General – Chief Audit Executive
Division of Inspector General
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INTRODUCTION

DIVISION OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
KEN BURKE, CPA, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

SERVICES PROVIDED:
AUDIT SERVICES
INVESTIGATIONS
GUARDIANSHIP SERVICES
CONSULTING
TRAINING
GUARDIANSHIP FRAUD HOTLINE
COUNTY FRAUD HOTLINE

Accredited Office of Inspector General
by the Commission for Florida Law Enforcement Accreditation

Write: Fraud Hotline
Public Integrity Unit
Division of Inspector General
510 Bay Ave.
Clearwater, FL 33756-5215

Phone: (727) 453-3728
Fax: (727) 464-8386
Internet: www.mypinellasclerk.org
www.twitter.com/pinellasig
www.facebook.com/igpinellas
To ensure the most efficient and effective utilization of audit staff resources, it is essential that areas selected for audit be carefully considered. Since the audit function, like other governmental functions, should provide the maximum possible benefit to Pinellas County citizens, audits should be conducted which will ultimately result in the most benefit. Because of the large number of possible areas to audit throughout the county and limited staff resources to audit them, a careful audit selection process should be in place. Such a strategic planning process has been conducted in determining this audit plan. The methodology of selecting audits and those areas selected for audit in Fiscal Year 2011-2012 are presented below.

**SOURCES OF AREAS SELECTED FOR AUDIT**

Areas selected for audit can come from a variety of sources. These include risk, management and employee requests, surprise audits and anonymous allegations of fraud, waste and abuse.

Risk must be one of the primary factors in selecting areas for audit. Risk for some aspects of county operations is higher than others for many reasons, including the type of activities, financial and operational impact to the county if something were to go wrong, strength and attitude of management, and the length of time since the areas have been audited. Those areas of the county which are high risk should receive more audit attention than those of lower risk. It should be noted, however, that areas of lower risk should not be ignored; rather, they should be done with less frequency than the higher risk areas.

As a part of the audit selection process, we also solicited input from the Board of County Commissioners, County Administrator, County Management, County Attorney, Pinellas County Constitutional Officers, the Management of the Clerk’s Office, and county employees.

In addition to risk, management sometimes has concerns about some areas or for some other reason believes an audit is desirable. Because one of the goals of the audit function is to be of assistance to management, these management requests are seriously considered in the selection of audits to be performed.

In addition, there is a need to conduct some audits on a surprise basis whenever prior knowledge could compromise the integrity of the audit. These types of audits include cash counts, payroll distributions, and some suspected instances of fraud, waste or abuse.

Our establishment of a fraud, waste and abuse hotline has also generated areas that have resulted in audits and investigations. The hotline tips have resulted in various investigative audits and guardianship audits.
RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The first step in determining a risk assessment methodology is to prepare a list of auditable entities. These are possible entities that could be audited in the county, if we chose to do so. In arriving at this comprehensive list, we used the county’s budget. By making sure all operations involving county funding were in some fashion included on the list of auditable entities, we have reasonable assurance that all significant county operations are included in our audit universe. Through this process, we identified over 300 auditable entities.

Once the auditable entities were identified, we determined how to assess the risk associated with each entity. We established six risk factors to be assessed for each entity:

1. Operational Impact
2. Complexity of Operations
3. Dollar Impact
4. Degree of Autonomy
5. Number of Years Since the Last Audit
6. Public Perception

Each of the risk factors was weighted, with Dollar Impact, Operational Impact, and Number of Years Since the Last Audit together comprising 65% of the weight. Numerical risk scores were assigned for each risk factor for each auditable entity. Total risk scores were then calculated. Auditable entities were grouped into high, medium, and low risk categories based on total risk score. The audit plan includes primarily management requests and entities from the high-risk area, but also includes some entities with lower identified risk. The risk assessment methodology is in accordance with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing and the Standards for Offices of Inspector General.

FISCAL YEAR 2011-2012 ANNUAL AUDIT PLAN

Using the risk model, audit source allocation, and management requests for audits, we developed the Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Annual Audit Plan. The Annual Audit Plan is a planning tool for the use of audit resources. As such, it is subject to change throughout the year as the need arises. Audit reports will be issued during the year for the audits shown as in-progress as well as those audits planned.
FISCAL YEAR 2011-2012 ANNUAL AUDIT PLAN

Audits Planned

ACE Cash Collection for the Clerk
Cell Phone Stipend Program
DEI Cost Methodologies
Economic Development Incentive Grants/Contracts
Encrypted Certificates Key Monitoring
Health & Human Services Grants & Contracts
Health & Human Services Medicaid Payments
Management Contract of Cross Bar & Albar Ranch
Mosquito Control
Parks Environmental Service Contracts
P-Card Usage
Real Estate Management Inventory Control
Service & Maintenance Contracts for County Parks
Solid Waste Contract for Waste to Energy Plant
Utilities GMD Contract With Ferguson Waterworks
Follow-Up Audits

Continuous Audits

Cash Bonds
Enterprise Data Security
Imprest Funds
Tangible Personal Property Inventories
ACL Continuous Audits

Audits in Progress October 1, 2011

211 Service Contract
AMSCOT Traffic Tickets Payment
Automated Copy Request System
Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP)
Convention & Visitors Bureau
Enterprise Information Security-Oversight & Administration
Family & Emergency Medical Leave Act
Fleet Allocations
HDR Contract Road Construction
Industrial Revenue Bonds
Justice CCMS Project
OPUS/Oracle Financial Applications
Penny for Pinellas
Property Appraiser-Internal Controls Over DAVE Information
Self-Help Service Revenue Collection
Stimulus Money
Solid Waste Municipal Recycling Reimbursement Grants
Utilities GMD Internal Controls of Inventory & Supplies

**Management Request in Progress October 1, 2011**

Real Estate Property Review-Homestead Exemptions
Pinellas County Housing Authority Section 8

**Ongoing Projects**

Auditee Education/Assistance
External Audit Assistance
Public Integrity Guardianship Audits
Public Integrity Investigative Audits
Consulting Services

Note: This Annual Audit Plan represents a flexible planning document. As such, some audits identified herein may not be conducted this year. Also, audits may be conducted which are not included in this document.
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